



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,318	12/06/2000	Steve Paboojian	015225-005420US	1028
21968	7590	07/02/2008	EXAMINER	
NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS 201 INDUSTRIAL ROAD SAN CARLOS, CA 94070				MENDOZA, MICHAEL G
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3734				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/02/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 09/731,318

Filing Date: December 06, 2000

Appellant(s): PABOOJIAN ET AL.

---

Paboojian et al.  
For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER**

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 7 August 2007 appealing from the Office action mailed 8/19/2005.

**(1) Real Party in Interest**

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

**(2) Related Appeals and Interferences**

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

**(3) Status of Claims**

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

**(4) Status of Amendments After Final**

No amendment after final has been filed.

**(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter**

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

**(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal**

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

**(7) Claims Appendix**

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

**(8) Evidence Relied Upon**

**(9) Grounds of Rejection**

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watt et al. 3980074.

Watt et al. teaches a receptacle comprising: a receptacle body that defines an enclosed cavity containing powdered medicament (col. 2, lines 27-28), wherein the receptacle body has a top end and a bottom end, and wherein the bottom end of the receptacle body includes a raised central region that extends upwardly into the cavity; wherein the receptacle body further comprises at least one curved wall that in combination with the raised central region forms a generally semi-toroidal geometry in the cavity; wherein a portion of the bottom end is flat in geometry; and wherein the receptacle body further includes a tab extending from the cavity (see figures).

**(10) Response to Argument**

The Appellant argues that the device of Watt et al. does not disclose an enclosed cavity. The definition of enclosed as stated in the final rejection is: surrounded by walls, objects or structures. The Examiner disagrees that the device of Watt et al. does not enclose a cavity. The cavity is enclosed by a sidewall, a bottom, and a removable top. Although the top has vents, the cavity is still enclosed. An example of an enclosure is cage. The bars of a cage enclose a defined space and is still open to the surrounding environment.

**(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix**

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael G. Mendoza/

Examiner, Art Unit 3734

Conferees:

/Todd E. Manahan/

Todd E. Manahan

Supervisory patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734

/Marc Jimenez/

TQAS TC3700